PATENT APPLICATION

'IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of

Docket No: 60802D

TOMALIA, Donald A., et al.

Appln. No.: 10/689,503

Confirmation No.: 4273

Filed: October 9, 2003

Group Art Unit: 1714

Examiner: YOON, Tae H.

For: NANOCOMPOSITES OF DENDRITIC POLYMERS

STATEMENT FOR PETITION TO REVIVE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b) AND PAYMENT OF FEE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(m)

MAIL STOP AMENDMENT

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

1

Applicants hereby petition for revival of the above-identified application under 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b) on the grounds that the application was unintentionally abandoned for failure to file a timely reply to the PTO Communication dated June 3, 2005. The undersigned states that the abandonment was unintentional, and also states that the delay in prosecution was unintentional.

The application was abandoned for failure to timely respond to the Office Action dated June 3, 2005.

Applicants respectfully submit that it was Applicants' intent to timely respond to the Office Action.

Further, Applicants submit herewith a PETITION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.136, extending the due date for responding to the Office Action to December 3, 2005 3723/2006 RMEBRAHT 00000042 10689503 1020.00 OP

03/23/2006 RMEBRAHT 00000042 10689503

01 FC:1253

Tomalia, et al. Application No. 10/689,503 Petition to Revive

Applicants respectfully submit that they have acted diligently to revive the application since discovering that no response had been filed with the Action dated June 3, 2005.

Therefore, Applicants did not delay filing the Petition to Revive under 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b) at least because a PETITION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.136 is submitted herewith, and they have acted diligently since discovering that no response had been filed in response to the Office Action dated June 3, 2005.

Submitted herewith in support of the Petition are the following documents:

- 1. Office Action dated June 3, 2005.
- 2. PETITION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.136 and the check for the statutory fee of \$1020.00.
- 3. REPLY pursuant to MPEP § 711.03(c)(II)(A)(2)(b), including a continuation application of 10/689,503.

Respectfully submitted,

Susan Moeller Zerull Registration No. 38,367

Phone: (989) 636-8858

P.O. Box 1967 Midland, Michigan 48641-1967

Date:

MAR 1 0 2006

							ODIFIED PTO/SB/64 (11-03)
		TITION'FOR REVIVAL OF AN AI ANDONED UNINTENTIONALLY				Docket No.	60802D
First name	d inven	tor: TOMALIA, Donald A.			Group Art Un	it: 1714	
Application Number: '' 10/689,503							
Filed: O	ctober	9, 2003		0,	40		
Title: N	ANOC	OMPOSITES OF DENDRITIC POL	YMERS	/ 	ing		
Attention: MAIL ST Commission	OP PE'			MAR 2 1 21 PARTE TRADE	Mark C		
P.O. Box 1 FAX: (70)		lexandria, VA 22313-1450 9306		GIRADES			
The al States Pate Office noti	oove-ident and '	entified application became abandone Trademark Office. The date of abandation plus any extensions of time actual HEREBY PETITIONS FOR REVIV	onment is the da ally obtained.	y after the exp			
NOTE: A grantable petition requires the following items:							
1.		n fee Small entity - fee \$ (37 C.F.I Other than small entity - fee <u>\$1500.00</u>	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		s small entity st	atus. See 37 C	.F.R. § 1.27.
2.	A.	and/or fee The reply and/or fee to the above-note A Continuation Application: □ has been filed previously on . ⊡ is enclosed herewith. The issue fee of \$ □ has been paid previously on □ is enclosed herewith.		in the form of			
3.	Terminal disclaimer with disclaimer fee ☑ Since this utility/plant application was filed on or after June 8, 1995, no terminal disclaimer is required. ☐ A terminal disclaimer (and disclaimer fee (37 C.F.R. § 1.20(d)) of \$ for a small entity or \$ for other than a small entity) disclaiming the required period of time is enclosed herewith (see PTO/SB/63).						
 STATEMENT: [NOTE: The United States Patent and Trademark Office may require additional information if there is a question as to whether either the abandonment or the delay in filing a petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b) was unintention (MPEP § 711.03(c), subsections (III)(C) and (D))]. The entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the required reply until the filing of a grantable petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b) was unintentional. 							
	Ø	See Attached Statement.	0	14 00	J., 0		
	Yarch	10,2006	Jusan	Moelle	1 Zewl		
Date			Signature				
′. — Teler	(989) 636-8858 phone		Typed or printe	usan Moeller	Zerull 723/2086 - RMEE R	HT 60066645 N	38,367 36,835.03
Tolo	-10110		- Jpca or printe		FC+1253	TO S	1020.00 0P
Enclosures		Fee(s) Payment Reply (continuation application) Terminal Disclaimer Additional sheets containing state	ments establishir	ng unintentiona	l delay		
					3/23/2006 RMEB	RAHT 00000041	10689503

01 FC:1453

1500.00 OP